

Citation for published version:

Preston, A 2016, 'The role of B. pertussis vaccine antigen gene variants in pertussis resurgence and possible consequences for vaccine development', *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1274-1276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1137402>

DOI:

[10.1080/21645515.2015.1137402](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1137402)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1137402)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 The role of *B. pertussis* vaccine antigen gene variants in pertussis resurgence
2 and possible consequences for vaccine development.

3

4 Andrew Preston¹

5

6 ¹ Milner Centre for Evolution and Department of Biology and Biochemistry,
7 University of Bath, Bath, UK.

8

9 *Bordetella pertussis*, resurgence, vaccine, evolution.

10

11 Abstract.

12 Whooping cough, or pertussis, caused by *Bordetella pertussis* is considered
13 resurgent in a number of countries world-wide, despite continued high level
14 vaccine coverage. Among a number of causes for this that have been
15 proposed, is the emergence of *B. pertussis* strains expressing variants of the
16 antigens contained in acellular pertussis vaccines; i.e. the evolution of *B.*
17 *pertussis* towards vaccine escape. This commentary highlights the
18 contradictory nature of evidence for this but also discusses the importance of
19 understanding the role of *B. pertussis* adaptation to vaccine-mediated immune
20 selection pressures for vaccine-mediated pertussis control strategies.

21

22 Resurgence.

23 Whooping cough, or pertussis, caused by *Bordetella pertussis* is considered
24 resurgent in a number of countries despite high levels of vaccination of infants
25 in these regions. ¹ This has involved an increase in the overall number of

cases diagnosed and also a clear increase in disease incidence in older children and adults suggesting a changing epidemiology of pertussis.

Explanations for this recent rise in disease incidence have been reviewed extensively during the last few years (for example, see ref. ²) but briefly, a switch from the use of a whole cell pertussis vaccine (WCV) to an acellular one (ACV) appears to be a key factor. In humans, the duration of protective immunity afforded by the ACV appears to be shorter than that arising from use of the WCV. ³⁻⁵ It has been long recognised that while WCVs induce a mainly Th1 biased response, the response to ACVs has a distinct Th2 bias. ⁶ Using an infant baboon model of pertussis, there are clear differences between WCV- and ACV-induced immunity. Both types of vaccines protect the individual against disease but animals vaccinated with ACV were colonised to a higher level and for longer when subsequently challenged with *B. pertussis*, compared to animals vaccinated with WCV. ⁷ Interestingly, vaccine-induced immunity, either from WCV or ACV, was inferior to immunity arising from natural infection in terms of protecting against colonisation following subsequent challenge. ⁷ Thus, it is very likely that the switch to the use of an ACV has changed the epidemiology of pertussis, resulting in the changing disease patterns observed.

This has led to debate about the need for novel pertussis vaccines, particularly one that induces sterilising immunity. ⁸ However, there is no clear pathway to testing or licensing such vaccines. It may be possible to introduce novel vaccines as boosters for adolescents and adults but there are major

obstacles to implementing novel vaccines for infant immunisation while the current ones do provide significant protection to infants against disease.

Evolution towards vaccine escape.

Also implicated in resurgence is the evolution of *B. pertussis* such that current strains are less susceptible to vaccine-induced immunity compared to older strains, i.e. *B. pertussis* is evolving towards vaccine escape.^{9, 10} There is a clear rationale for why this might happen. The WCV presents a wide range of antigens to the immune system, compared to the 5-9 *B. pertussis* proteins that comprise ACVs. Thus, ACV-induced immunity is focused on just a few proteins, creating a stronger selection pressure for strains expressing antigenic variants of these proteins. Genomic analyses of *B. pertussis* has revealed that recent evolution of these bacteria has involved selective sweeps in which a novel vaccine antigen allele arises and largely replaces the previous dominant allele in the *B. pertussis* population, suggestive of a fitness advantage conferred by the new allele, for example see refs.¹¹⁻¹³

In particular, regions experiencing resurgence have reported a dramatic rise in the frequency of strains that are deficient in the expression of Pertactin (Prn), one of the antigens included in ACVs. Clearly such strains are able to cause pertussis, but several lines of evidence suggest that they have a selective advantage over Prn-expressing strains in vaccinated hosts.

For example, vaccinated people appear more likely to be infected by a Prn-deficient strain than one expressing pertactin, as determined by analysis of

cases in the US where there is high coverage with ACVs.¹⁴ Using the mouse model of *B. pertussis* infection it was demonstrated that naïve mice were colonized to the same level by Prn-expressing or Prn-deficient strains. However, in mice immunized with ACV and then subsequently challenged with *B. pertussis*, Prn-expressing strains were cleared more quickly than Prn-deficient ones.¹⁵ Finally, a very recent study developed this further and assessed direct competition between Prn-expressing and Prn-deficient strains in the murine infection model.¹⁶ Coinfection of naïve mice and ACV-immunised mice with equal numbers of a Prn-expressing and a Prn-deficient strain revealed dramatic differences. In naïve mice the Prn-expressing strain became dominant by day 3 post-inoculation and accounted for over 90% of the *B. pertussis* recovered by day 14, demonstrating a distinct fitness advantage from expression of Prn in these hosts. However, in immunized mice, the reverse was observed, as the Prn-deficient strain accounted for over 90% of recovered bacteria by day 14.¹⁶ Together these data very strongly suggest that the loss of expression of Prn generates a fitness advantage to *B. pertussis*, but only in hosts immunized with an ACV. This is compelling evidence to suggest that ACV-induced immunity generates a strong selection pressure on *B. pertussis* and in turn this suggests that this selection pressure has been the driver for the emergence of Prn-deficient *B. pertussis* strains.

That Prn-deficient strains are isolated from people displaying disease symptoms demonstrates that Pertactin is not required by *B. pertussis* for either colonisation of, or pathogenesis in, humans; enabling survival of these strains. If avoidance of immunity is the driver for loss of Prn-expression, then

it might be expected that this would select also for *B. pertussis* strains expressing antigenically variant Prn. Although a number of *prn* alleles have been identified, variation occurs primarily through variability in the number of repeat motifs within the gene, as opposed to mutations altering the amino acid sequence of Prn, discussed in ref. ⁹ This could be explained by a polyclonal immune response against Prn, targeting multiple regions of the protein. In this case, small changes in protein sequence such as single amino acid substitutions arising from SNPs are unlikely to have much effect on avoidance of this immunity. Data regarding epitopes on *B. pertussis* proteins that are recognised by vaccine-induced immunity is limited. ¹⁷ In particular, clear correlates of protection have not been defined and thus it is not possible to identify specific epitopes whose recognition is pivotal for protective immunity that would be expected to exert strong selective pressure. However, current data does support the idea that responses are polyclonal.

This might explain also why the level of variation in vaccine antigen genes, aside from the issue of pertactin-deficiency, among thousands of *B. pertussis* strains analysed, is very low (for example, see refs. ^{11, 18}) To our knowledge, only 10 *ptxA* alleles, (encoding the PtxA subunit of pertussis toxin, another ACV component) have been described, mostly involving just one or two mutations, and two of which carried synonymous mutations. Thus, there are just 8 protein variants observed in many, many strains isolated world-wide over many decades. In addition, it is clear that a single allele has dominated at any one time. For example, numerous studies since suggest that since 2000, well over 90% of isolates carry the *ptxA1* allele indicating that there has

been very little protein variation in PtxA among *B. pertussis* during this time
(for example, see refs. ^{11, 18})

Interestingly, although few, several PtxA polymorphisms occur in regions that
are thought to be B or T cell epitopes ¹⁷ but there is no data as to whether any
of the polymorphisms result in altered recognition by cells of the immune
system.

A similarly very low level of variation is observed for the other ACV antigens
(again, for example see refs. ^{11, 18}). Thus it is not clear why an apparently
strong selection pressure on Prn is not apparent for the other ACV antigens.

There are key differences between these antigens. Pertussis toxin is a
secreted protein complex. Thus, anti-toxin immunity is thought to be largely
toxin-neutralising rather than targeting the bacterium but the toxin has a key
role in *B. pertussis* infection and therefore one would still predict a strong
selection pressure to avoid toxin-neutralising immune responses. The
expression of fimbrial antigens is subject to phase-variation, via variability in
the length of a homopolymeric tract within the *fim* gene promoters. ¹⁹ This
might provide a mechanism for avoiding anti-Fim immunity in the absence of
Fim variation, but if Fim are required for adherence during colonisation and
subsequent infection, it is hard to see how anti-Fim immunity does not create
selection pressure on these proteins.

Thus, the role of vaccine antigen variation in *B. pertussis* evolution, or
adaptation to vaccine-mediated immunity is not clear at present. However, it is

151 a very important issue. This is true for considerations about increased use of
152 current ACVs in booster vaccinations in adolescents and adults in response to
153 resurgence. If increased use of vaccines were to further increase the selective
154 pressure for variation, this might increase the rate at which strains emerge
155 that are increasingly mismatched to vaccine-mediated immunity and thus with
156 greater fitness advantages in immunised hosts. The same is true also if ACVs
157 are modified. For example, it has been proposed to 'update' ACVs by
158 including antigens from strains that include antigen variants.

160 Any development of novel ACVs must also take into account the likely
161 selective pressures that they may create. Genomics has revealed all of the
162 potential *B. pertussis* antigens present in this bacterium. Thus identifying
163 novel antigens is not difficult. Nor is testing their immunogenicity and the
164 protective effect of immune responses against infection, particularly with the
165 availability of an infant baboon model of pertussis. However, just as for
166 current ACVs, avoiding selection pressures that might create short lifespans
167 for novel vaccines is critically important.

169 Implementing novel *B. pertussis* vaccines, or even modified versions of
170 current ACVs, is a major process to undertake, and likely to be possible only
171 once, or a very limited number of times. Thus it is imperative to understand
172 the effect of antigen variation on immunity in order to inform this process.

174 In turn, this requires a detailed understanding of the mechanisms of protective
175 immunity against *B. pertussis*, including whether certain epitopes are key to

176 this in terms of ACV induced immunity. A number of groups are now
177 beginning to identify B and T cell epitopes recognised either during infection
178 or by vaccine-induced immunity (for example, see refs. ²⁰⁻²², but knowledge
179 regarding protection is still lacking.

180
181 In addition, it is important to develop models in which these aspects can be
182 investigated, and resulting hypotheses tested. To this end, the recently
183 developed infant baboon model of pertussis will be vital, and two human
184 challenge models of *B. pertussis* colonisation are in the process of
185 development. While these will be invaluable to answering key questions, they
186 will be limited in terms of the number of studies able to be performed using
187 them, and the number of subjects within each study. The mouse model of *B.*
188 *pertussis* infection has been much maligned as a tool for investigating
189 resurgence, but it is the one *in vivo* model that can be used widely among
190 research labs and whose ability to use greater numbers of animals than is
191 possible with baboons provides greater power for detecting slight effects. In
192 addition, the availability of genetically modified mice provides powerful tools to
193 investigate the role of specific immune components and processes in
194 immunity to *B. pertussis* and provide *mechanistic* insight. ²³

195
196 In summary, the dramatic rise in the incidence of pertactin-deficient *B.*
197 *pertussis* strains in countries using ACVs, and the demonstration of a fitness
198 advantage of these strains over those expressing pertactin, in immunised
199 hosts, strongly supports the idea that vaccine-mediated immunity creates a
200 selective pressure capable of shaping the genetic make up of *B. pertussis*.

However, this is in contrast to the very low levels of variation observed for the other ACV antigens. Thus, the role of variation among *B. pertussis* in adaptation to vaccination and thus pertussis resurgence is unclear at present but important to determine for understanding resurgence, for expanded use of current ACVs and for the design of novel vaccines to combat *B. pertussis*.

References.

1. Burns DL, Meade BD, Messonnier NE. Pertussis Resurgence: Perspectives From the Working Group Meeting on Pertussis on the Causes, Possible Paths Forward, and Gaps in Our Knowledge. *J Infect Dis* 2014; 209:S32-S5.
2. Belcher T, Preston A. Bordetella pertussis evolution in the (functional) genomics era. *Pathog Dis* 2015; 73:ftv064.
3. Rendi-Wagner P, Kundi M, Mikolasek A, Vecsei A, Fruhwirth M, Kollaritsch H. Hospital-based active surveillance of childhood pertussis in Austria from 1996 to 2003: estimates of incidence and vaccine effectiveness of whole-cell and acellular vaccine. *Vaccine* 2006; 24:5960-5.
4. Witt MA, Arias L, Katz PH, Truong ET, Witt DJ. Reduced risk of pertussis among persons ever vaccinated with whole cell pertussis vaccine compared to recipients of acellular pertussis vaccines in a large US cohort. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 56:1248-54.
5. Witt MA, Katz PH, Witt DJ. Unexpectedly limited durability of immunity following acellular pertussis vaccination in preadolescents in a North American outbreak. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54:1730-5.

225 6. Ross PJ, Sutton CE, Higgins S, Allen AC, Walsh K, Misiak A, Lavelle
226 EC, McLoughlin RM, Mills KH. Relative contribution of Th1 and Th17 cells in
227 adaptive immunity to *Bordetella pertussis*: towards the rational design of an
228 improved acellular pertussis vaccine. *PLoS pathogens* 2013; 9:e1003264.

229 7. Warfel JM, Zimmerman LI, Merkel TJ. Acellular pertussis vaccines
230 protect against disease but fail to prevent infection and transmission in a
231 nonhuman primate model. *Proc Natl Acad of Sci* 2013; 111:787-92.

232 8. Poolman JT. Shortcomings of pertussis vaccines: why we need a third
233 generation vaccine. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2014; 13:1159-62.

234 9. Mooi FR. *Bordetella pertussis* and vaccination: the persistence of a
235 genetically monomorphic pathogen. *Infect Genet Evol* 2010; 10:36-49.

236 10. Mooi FR, Van Der Maas NA, De Melker HE. Pertussis resurgence:
237 waning immunity and pathogen adaptation - two sides of the same coin.
238 *Epidemiol Infect* 2014; 142:685-94.

239 11. Bart MJ, Harris SR, Advani A, Arakawa Y, Bottero D, Bouchez V,
240 Cassidy PK, Chiang CS, Dalby T, Fry NK, et al. Global population structure
241 and evolution of *Bordetella pertussis* and their relationship with vaccination.
242 *mBio* 2014; 5:e01074.

243 12. Sealey KL, Harris SR, Fry NK, Hurst LD, Gorringer AR, Parkhill J,
244 Preston A. Genomic Analysis of Isolates From the United Kingdom 2012
245 Pertussis Outbreak Reveals That Vaccine Antigen Genes Are Unusually Fast
246 Evolving. *J Infect Dis* 2015; 212:294-301.

247 13. van Gent M, Bart MJ, van der Heide HG, Heuvelman KJ, Mooi FR.
248 Small mutations in *Bordetella pertussis* are associated with selective sweeps.
249 *PloS one* 2012; 7:e46407.

250 14. Martin SW, Pawloski L, Williams M, Weening K, DeBolt C, Qin X,
251 Reynolds L, Kenyon C, Giambrone G, Kudish K, et al. Pertactin-negative
252 Bordetella pertussis strains: evidence for a possible selective advantage. Clin
253 Infect Dis 2015; 60:223-7.

254 15. Hegerle N, Dore G, Guiso N. Pertactin deficient Bordetella pertussis
255 present a better fitness in mice immunized with an acellular pertussis vaccine.
256 Vaccine 2014; 32:6597-600.

257 16. Safarchi A, Octavia S, Luu LD, Tay CY, Sintchenko V, Wood N,
258 Marshall H, McIntyre P, Lan R. Pertactin negative Bordetella pertussis
259 demonstrates higher fitness under vaccine selection pressure in a mixed
260 infection model. Vaccine 2015; 33:6277-81.

261 17. Vaughan K, Seymour E, Peters B, Sette A. Substantial gaps in
262 knowledge of Bordetella pertussis antibody and T cell epitopes relevant for
263 natural immunity and vaccine efficacy. Hum Immunol 2014; 75:440-51.

264 18. Shuel M, Jamieson FB, Tang P, Brown S, Farrell D, Martin I, Stoltz J,
265 Tsang RS. Genetic analysis of Bordetella pertussis in Ontario, Canada
266 reveals one predominant clone. Int J Infect Dis 2013; 17:e413-7.

267 19. Vaughan TE, Pratt CB, Sealey K, Preston A, Fry NK, Gorringer AR.
268 Plasticity of fimbrial genotype and serotype within populations of Bordetella
269 pertussis: analysis by paired flow cytometry and genome sequencing.
270 Microbiology 2014; 160:2030-44.

271 20. da Silva FR, Napoleao-Pego P, De-Simone SG. Identification of linear
272 B epitopes of pertactin of Bordetella pertussis induced by immunization with
273 whole and acellular vaccine. Vaccine 2014; 32:6251-8.

- 274 21. Han WG, Helm K, Poelen MM, Otten HG, van Els CA. Ex vivo peptide-
275 MHC II tetramer analysis reveals distinct end-differentiation patterns of human
276 pertussis-specific CD4(+) T cells following clinical infection. Clin Immunol
277 2015; 157:205-15.
- 278 22. Valentini D, Ferrara G, Advani R, Hallander HO, Maeurer MJ. Serum
279 reactome induced by Bordetella pertussis infection and Pertussis vaccines:
280 qualitative differences in serum antibody recognition patterns revealed by
281 peptide microarray analysis. BMC Immunol 2015; 16:40.
- 282 23. Higgs R, Higgins SC, Ross PJ, Mills KH. Immunity to the respiratory
283 pathogen Bordetella pertussis. Mucosal Immunol 2012; 5:485-500.
- 284